

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Upgrades Nassau County, NY's IDR to 'AA'; Rates \$245MM GOs 'AA'; Outlook Stable

Tue 21 May, 2024 - 2:21 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - New York - 21 May 2024: Fitch Ratings has assigned Nassau County, NY's assigned a 'AA' to the \$245.4 million limited tax GO (LTGO) general improvement bonds, 2024 series A. The proceeds will fund various capital improvements including roads, public safety and infrastructure projects. The bonds are scheduled to price on May 29.

Fitch has also upgraded Nassau County Issuer Default Rating (IDR), unlimited tax general obligation bonds (ULTGO), limited tax general obligation and Nassau Health Care Corporation (NHCC) county-guaranteed bonds to 'AA' from 'A+'.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

RATING ACTIONS

| ENTITY / DEBT \$ | RATING ♦ | PRIOR ≑ |
|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Nassau County (NY) [General Government] | LT IDR AA Rating Outlook Stable Upgrade | A+ Rating Outlook Positive |

| Nassau County (NY) /General Obligation - Limited Tax/1 LT | LT | AA Rating Outlook Stable | Upgrade | A+ Rating Outlook Positive |
|--|----|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Nassau County (NY) /General Obligation - Unlimited Tax/1 LT | LT | AA Rating Outlook Stable | Upgrade | A+ Rating Outlook Positive |

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

The upgrade of Nassau County's IDR and GO bonds to 'AA' from 'A+' reflects the county's improved financial resilience was well as the implementation of Fitch's new U.S. Public Finance Local Government Rating Criteria. The 'AA' rating incorporates the county's 'a' financial resilience supported by its high midrange budgetary flexibility, continued trend of structurally balanced operations, and significantly improved fund balances since lows in fiscal 2017 and 2018.

The county has generated annual operating surpluses since 2018 as a result of improved operating performance and management's efforts to limit expenditure growth over time. The county has 'Strongest' economic and demographic attributes, which include a large population and diverse economy. The county's population growth trends are 'Weakest' compared to Fitch's rated local government portfolio, but the unemployment, educational attainment and median household income metrics composite is 'Strongest'.

The ratings also incorporate the county's strong long-term liability (LTL) metrics. Maintenance of reserves at or above current levels would result in an improved financial resilience assessment and positive rating pressure

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- --An inability to maintain unrestricted general fund reserves at or above 5% of general fund expenditures and transfers out;
- --A sustained approximate 65% to 75% increase in long-term liabilities and carrying costs that increases the district's long-term liability burden metrics.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

--Expectations for maintenance of unrestricted general fund reserves at or above current levels of general fund expenditures and transfers out over time;

--A sustained approximate 15% to 20% reduction in long-term liabilities and carrying costs that reduces the district's long-term liability burden metrics.

SECURITY

The GO bonds carry the county's full faith and credit and taxing power, subject to a 2011 state statute limiting property tax increases to the lesser of 2% or an inflation factor (the tax cap law). This limit can be overridden annually by a 60% vote of the county legislature.

FITCH'S LOCAL GOVERNMENT RATING MODEL

The Local Government Rating Model (LGRM) generates Model Implied Ratings (MIR) which communicate the issuer's credit quality relative to Fitch's local government rating portfolio (the MIR will be the IDR except in certain circumstances explained in the applicable criteria). The MIR is expressed via a numerical value calibrated to Fitch's long-term rating scale that ranges from 10.0 or higher ('AAA'), 9.0 ('AA+'), 8.0 ('AA'), and so forth down to 1.0 ('BBB-' and below).

Model Implied Ratings reflect the combination of issuer-specific metrics and assessments to generate a Metric Profile (MP), and a structured framework to account for Additional Analytical Factors (AAFs) not captured in the Metric Profile that can either mitigate or exacerbate credit risks. AAFs are reflected in notching from the MP and are capped at +/-3 notches.

RATINGS HEADROOM & POSITIONING

Nassau County Model Implied Rating: 8.86 ('AA')

-- Metric Profile: 8.86 ('AA')

-- Net Additional Analytical Factor Notching: 0.0

Nassau County's Model Implied Rating of 8.86 is at the upper end of the 8.0 to 9.0 range for its current 'AA' rating.

The rating could see positive pressure if the county maintains unrestricted general fund reserves at or above 7.5% of general fund spending overtime.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

FINANCIAL PROFILE

Financial Resilience - 'a'

Nassau County's financial resilience is driven by the combination of its 'High' revenue control assessment and 'Midrange' expenditure control assessment, culminating in a 'High Midrange' budgetary flexibility assessment.

- -- Revenue control assessment: High
- -- Expenditure control assessment: Midrange
- -- Budgetary flexibility assessment: High Midrange
- -- Minimum fund balance for current financial resilience assessment: >=5.0%
- -- Current year fund balance to expenditure ratio: 23.2% (2022)
- -- Five-year low fund balance to expenditure ratio: 5.0% Analyst Input (vs. 0.7% 2022 Actual)

Revenue Volatility - 'Midrange'

Nassau County's weakest historic three-year revenue performance has a modest negative impact on the Model Implied Rating.

The revenue volatility metric is an estimate of potential revenue volatility based on the issuer's historical experience relative to the median for the Fitch-rated local government portfolio. The metric helps to differentiate issuers by the scale of revenue loss that would have to be addressed through revenue raising, cost controls or utilization of reserves through economic cycles.

- -- Lowest three-year revenue performance (based on revenues dating back to 2005): 4.1% decrease for the three-year period ending fiscal 2022
- -- Median issuer decline: -4.6% (2022)

Analyst Inputs to the Model

The five -year low fund balance to expenditure was adjusted from the 0.7% low in 2018 to the county's fund balance policy to maintain reserves at 5% of normal prior-year expenditures made from the operating funds.

DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STRENGTH

Population Trend - 'Weakest'

Based on the median of 10-year annual percentage change in population, Nassau County's population trend is assessed as 'Weakest'.

Population trend: 0.1% 2021 median of 10-year annual percentage change in population (13th percentile)

Unemployment, Educational Attainment and MHI Level - 'Strongest'

The overall strength of Nassau County's demographic and economic level indicators (unemployment rate, educational attainment, median household income [MHI]) in 2022 are assessed as 'Strongest' on a composite basis, performing at the 84th percentile of Fitch's local government rating portfolio. This is due to relatively strong education attainment levels, median-issuer indexed adjusted MHI and unemployment rate.

- -- Unemployment rate as percentage of national rate: 86.1% Analyst Input (76th percentile) (vs. 80.6% 2022), relative to the national rate of 3.6%
- -- Percent of population with a bachelor's degree or higher: 47.5% (2021) (85th percentile)
- -- MHI as a percent of the portfolio median: 159.6% (2021) (92nd percentile)

Economic Concentration and Population Size - 'Strongest'

Nassau County's population in 2021 was of sufficient size and the economy was sufficiently diversified to qualify for Fitch's highest overall size/diversification category.

The composite metric acts asymmetrically, with most issuers (above the 15th percentile for each metric) sufficiently diversified to minimize risks associated with small population and economic concentration. Downward effects of the metric on the Metric Profile are most pronounced for the least economically diverse issuers (in the 5th percentile for the metric or lower). The economic concentration percentage shown below is defined as the sum of the absolute deviation of the percentage of personal income by major economic sectors relative to the U.S. distribution.

- -- Population size: 1,391,112 (2021) (above the 15th percentile)
- -- Economic concentration: 29.1% Analyst Input (above the 15th percentile) (vs. 29.6% 2022 Actual)

Fitch Economic Concentration for county median data.

LONG TERM LIABILITY BURDEN

Long-Term Liability Burden - 'Strong'

Nassau County's liabilities to personal income and liabilities to governmental revenue remain strong while carrying costs to governmental expenditures remain midrange. The long-term liability composite metric in 2022 is at the 65th percentile,

indicating a somewhat lower liability burden relative to the Fitch local government rating portfolio.

- -- Liabilities to personal income: 2.9% Analyst Input (77th percentile) (vs. 2.9% 2022 Actual)
- -- Liabilities to governmental revenue: 101.8% Analyst Input (84th percentile) (vs. 103.1% 2022 Actual)
- -- Carrying costs to governmental expenditures: 15.7% Analyst Input (43rd percentile) (vs. 15.3% 2022 Actual)

Analyst Inputs to the Model

Fitch adjusted liabilities to personal income, liabilities to governmental revenues and carrying costs to reflect the current issuance plus the estimated amortization of outstanding principal through fiscal 2023.

PROFILE

Nassau County, located just east of New York City, has a wealthy tax base and a population of approximately 1.4 million, which reflects modest growth since 2010. The county's economic indicators show diversity and strength, including median household above the U.S. average and unemployment rates below national rates. It reports robust growth in the healthcare sector including planned expansions from NYU Langone and Northwell Health.

The county is relatively built out, but county officials report significant new development around the Nassau Coliseum, referred to as the Nassau Hub, which includes plans for the redevelopment of 70 acres for mixed-use development. The county remains engaged in advancing the lease transfer to develop the Coliseum property despite some legal setbacks. The proposed \$6 billion development includes plans for a Sands Casino in Uniondale, NY.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Shannon McCue

Senior Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+12129080593

shannon.mccue@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Kevin Dolan

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+12129080538

kevin.dolan@fitchratings.com

Karen Ribble

Senior Director

Committee Chairperson

+14157325611

karen.ribble@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Sandro Scenga

New York

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

U.S. Public Finance Local Government Rating Criteria (pub. 02 Apr 2024) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

APPLICABLE MODELS

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

U.S. Local Government Rating Model, v1.2.0 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with

respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see Best- and Worst-Case Measures under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any

time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dvO1, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.